### WEST VIRGINIA STATE UNIVERSITY BOARD OF GOVERNORS

### West Virginia State University

### BOG Policy #35

### Title: Performance Review for Non-Classified Employees

### Section 1. General

- 1.1 Purpose: This policy identifies the performance areas for which nonclassified staff are responsible
- 1.2 Scope: This policy applies to all component units of West Virginia State University (WVSU).
- 1.3 Authority: West Virginia Higher Education Policy Commission.
- 1.4 Effective Date:

### Section 2. Policy

- 2.1 It is the intention of the West Virginia State University Board of Governors that yearly performance reviews will be conducted for all non-classified staff of WVSU.
  - 2.1.1 The evaluation tool(s) for the President are specified separately;
  - 2.1.2 The rating tool to be developed for this policy can be utilized for any non-classified employee of WVSU or the tool may be tailored to the needs of a particular unit upon approval of the relevant vice president or dean;
  - 2.1.3 Evaluations based on the developed tool, or on approved modifications, may provide the basis for the awarding of merit funds which maybe available for distribution to non-classified employees of WVSU;
  - 2.1.3.1 Only non-classified staff which are in permanent positions identified with institutional units will be considered eligible for merit increases.

### Section 3. Procedures

- 3.1 The evaluation tool, named *PERFORMANCE REVIEW FORM*, on which any approved modification would be based, will be available in the office of Human Resources.
  - 3.1.1 Evaluations will be based on whether a number of separate performance areas are performed at levels which 1) do not meet requirements, 2) meet requirements, or 3) exceed requirements;

- 3.1.1.1 A score from 0--10 is awarded by the employee's immediate supervisor for each performance area;
  - 3.1.1.1.1 Each rating is reviewed with the employee by the supervisor;
  - 3.1.1.1.2 Comments or corrective requirements may be added for each performance area.
- 3.1.1.2 The value of 10 is multiplied by the number of performance areas within the relevant evaluation tool and then scaled to 100;
- 3.1.1.3 The total raw score of the employee is multiplied by the same scaling factor as utilized in Section 3.1.1.2 to obtain the employee's quantitative evaluation score;
- 3.1.1.4 The evaluation tool will also provide for various nonquantitative evaluative observations to be made by the supervisor of the employee.
  - 3.1.1.4.1 Both the employee and the supervisor sign off on the evaluation tool indicating knowledge of all the enclosed numerical ratings and comments.

## WVSU and WVSCTC

	PERFORMANCE REVIEW FORM for Non-Classified Employees			
es Name	Social Security			
Title				
Or	Evaluation Period: From	То	et communication de la com	-
ent or Unit	Is Evaluation Period Probationary?	Yes	No.	

No.

Employe

Supervis

Departm

on the job. The areas are to be evaluated separately and a numerical rating from 0–10 (10 being high) is awarded for each performance area. Besides recognizing commendable performances, specific job duties or traits which are deficient and specifically what the employee must do to indicate improvement should be described. General Instructions: The performance areas listed below cover qualities, traits, characteristics and abilities which are important for success

### Levels of Performance

Exceeds requirements; Scores 8-10; meets overall requirements; performance in some regards consistently exceeds requirements Meets requirements; Scores 4–7; performance is satisfactory; not exemplary but meets overall requirements. **Does not meet requirements**; Scores 0-3; performance is at a level much less than required; considerable improvement is needed

### Performance Area #1 - Job Knowledge

accomplishing the job knowledge for practical, technical and Consider adequacy of professional skills and

Does not meet requirements

- has little or poor grasp of professional knowledge. practical, technical or

Scores 0-3

Meets requirements

appears to be satisfactory. - knowledge in the field

Scores 4-7

**Exceeds requirements** 

Score for

essentially all areas of the - is well informed in field or speciality.

Job Knowledge

Scores 8-10

Comments or actions needed related to **Job Knowledge**:

### Performance Area #2 - Quality of Work

accuracy, neatness, thoroughness, dependability and usefulness of results.	quality results that relate to	consistently achieves high	set and employee	which work standards are	Consider the extent to
Scores 0-3	limited value.	guidance—results are of	errors despite	<ul> <li>makes frequent or repeated</li> </ul>	Does not meet requirements
	Scores 4–7		acceptably correct.	<ul> <li>results are useful and</li> </ul>	Meets requirements
	Scores 8–10		omissions, or incompleteness.	<ul> <li>results rarely contain errors,</li> </ul>	Exceeds requirements
descriptions and a second			Quality of Work		Score for

Comments or actions needed related to Quality of Work:

## Performance Area #3 - Quantity of Work

## Performance Area #4 - Interpersonal Relations

general public as applicable	service users and the	staff, visitors, patrons,	of the interactions with	Consider the effectiveness
Scores 0-3	guidance and counseling.	unsatisfactory despite	<ul> <li>interactive relationships are</li> </ul>	Does not meet requirements
Scores 4–7		with others.	<ul> <li>maintains positive relations</li> </ul>	Meets requirements
Scores 8–10		relations with others.	<ul> <li>maintains highly productive</li> </ul>	<b>Exceeds requirements</b>
	Relations	Interpersonal		Score for

analysis of facts and the related situations are done which prior thought and Consider the extent to Performance Area #5 - Critical Thinking Comments or actions needed related to Interpersonal Relations: Does not meet requirements responses are too common incomplete consideration of consequences; impulsive - acceptable analysis precedes actions and problem solving Meets requirements activities. problem solving activities are - responsive actions and **Exceeds requirements** well thought out. Critical Thinking Score for

Comments or actions needed related to **Critical Thinking**:

problem solving activities

Scores 0-3

Scores 4-7

Scores 8-10

prior to initiating action and

## Performance Area #6 - Attitude/Cooperation

disposition and spirit within flexibility, general courtesy, adaptability Consider enthusiasm, the work environment. Does not meet requirements individual hard to work with - a poor attitude makes the Scores 0-3 the individual reasonable to the overall attitude makes Meets requirements Scores 4-7 work with. demonstrates a good attitude. **Exceeds requirements**  very cooperative; Scores 8-10

Cooperation

Attitude/

Score for

Comments or actions needed related to Attitude/Cooperation:

### Performance Area #7 - Resourcefulness

supervision is required and whether close development of new ideas successfully; include the self-starter and handles which the individual is a unexpected difficulties Consider the extent to

Does not meet requirements individual demonstrates little - requires close supervision;

Scores 0-3

personal drive.

initiative; is usually limited supervision.

Meets requirements

resourceful; requires more the demonstrates good

Scores 4-7

**Exceeds requirements** 

highly effective self-starter - adapts readily to change; functions effectively with limited supervision; is a

Resourcefulness

Score for

Scores 8-10

Comments or actions needed related to **Resourcefulness**:

### Performance Area #8 - Planning

programs; include the extent to which the individual sets challenging, yet realistic goals.	and	completion of tasks, in	organization and ta	in the planning,	Consider the effectiveness De
apparent. Scores 0–3	organized activity not readily	dications that goals are set;	tasks in a timely manner, no	<ul> <li>fails to complete assigned</li> </ul>	Does not meet requirements
Scores 4–7	organization is apparent.	indications that goals are set; tasks in a timely manner; task on	realistic goals; completes	<ul> <li>strives to set and meet</li> </ul>	Meets requirements
Scores 8–10	and met.	on time; realistic goals are set	apparent; tasks are completed	<ul> <li>organization is readily</li> </ul>	<b>Exceeds requirements</b>
		i	Planning		Score for

Comments or actions needed related to Planning:

# Performance Area #9 - Communication - Oral/Written

outcomes of communications.	others; consider the	subordinates, the public and	users, peers, supervisors,	in communicating with	ess
200762	Scores ()_3	others.		<ul> <li>little effort is apparent to</li> </ul>	<b>G</b> 2
Scores 4–7	others.	usually appears considerate of	communicating with others;	<ul> <li>few apparent difficulties in</li> </ul>	Meets requirements
Scores 8–10	apparent.	consideration of others is	communicating with others;	<ul> <li>very effective in</li> </ul>	Exceeds requirements
Control of the Contro		Oral/Written	Communication -		Score for

Comments or actions needed related to Communication - Oral/Written:

## Performance Area #10 - Resource Management

initiate productivity improvement and cost reduction methods.	other resources; include ability to perceive and	time, financi	in the management and	Consider the
activity t and cost thods.	other resources; include the ability to perceive and	time, financial, physical and	ement and	Consider the effectiveness 1
Scores 0–3	efficient methods and processes.	labor or to develop more	- few or no steps have been	Does not meet requirements
Scores 4–7	reduction activities.	apparent; engages in cost	- satisfactory resource	Meets requirements
Scores 8–10	approaches.	and more efficient	- successfully manages	Exceeds requirements
	1	Management		Score for

Comments or actions needed related to Resource Management:		No. or replaced in concession of the concession and the concession of the concession
Performance Area #11 - Overall Contribution		
Consider the extent to	ributor of - consistently contributes es and useful ideas; is a goal oriented strong leader who contributes to the team spirit of the unit	for rall pution
consistent and responsible; Scores 0–3 Scores 4–7 does the individual demonstrate a team spirit.	8	- Control of the Cont
Comments or actions needed related to Overall Contribution:		extension and an income and an income and a second
Performance Area #12 - Professional Development		
Does not meet requirements Meet - no apparent steps have been taken to improve developments to improfessionally.	ements  Exceeds requirements ssional - attempts to stay on top of rities when professional developments in able. the individual's field and as related to their unit's goals.  Score for  Professional Development	sional pment
including training, learning Scores 0-3 Scores 4-7 extensions, development courses, etc.		wannishida
Comments or actions needed related to Professional Development:		
1 - Areas of apparent strength:		ANNO ANTO ANTO ANTO ANTO ANTO ANTO ANTO
2 - Areas of needed improvement and Activity Plan:		unichmonare de attorne de la constante de la c
Overall Numerical Performance Rating: Sum of Rating Points in the 12 Areas	as =; Multiplied by Scaling Factor of 0.833 =	

The ratings indicated on this form have been discussed with me by my supervisor.

Supervisor: Employee: Date: Date: \_; Reviewed by/Date: